Precision-Guided Context Sensitivity for Pointer Analysis

Yue Li, Tian Tan, Anders Møller, Yannis Smaragdakis

OOPSLA 2018





National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

A New Pointer Analysis Technique for Object-Oriented Programs



Pointer Analysis

Determines

"which objects a variable can point to?"

Uses of Pointer Analysis

Clients

- Security analysis
- Bug detection
- Compiler optimization
- Program verification
- Program understanding



Uses of Pointer Analysis

Clients

- Security analysis
- Bug detection
- Compiler optimization
- Program verification
- Program understanding



A precise pointer analysis benefits all above clients & tools



Context Sensitivity

One of the most successful pointer analysis techniques for producing high precision for OO programs



Context Sensitivity

Distinguishes points-to information of methods by different calling contexts

Context Sensitivity: Example

class A {
 String foo(String s)
 return s;
 }

static void main() {
 A a1 = new A(); // A/1
 --b1 = a1.foo("s1");

A a2	= new	A();	//	A/2
∽b2 =	a2.fo	o("s2'	");	
}				

Variable	Object		
S	"s1", "s2"		
b1	"s1", "s2"		
b2	"s1", "s2"		

Context-Insensitivity

Context Sensitivity: Example

class A {
 String foo(String s)
 return s;
 }

static void main() {
 A a1 = new A(); // A/1
 b1 = a1.foo("s1");

Variable	Object
S	"s1", "s2"
b1	"s1", "s2"
b2	"s1", "s2"

Context-Insensitivity

Context Sensitivity: Example

class A {
 String foo(String s)
 return s;
}

Context	Variable	Object
[A/1]	S	"s1"
[A/2]	S	"s2"
[]	b1	"s1"
[]	b2	"s2"

I-Object-Sensitivity

static void main() {
 A a1 = new A(); // A/1
 --b1 = a1.foo("s1");

A a2	= new A();	//	A/2
∽b2 =	a2.foo("s2	");	
}			

Variable	Object
S	"s1", "s2"
b1	"s1",("s2")
b2	"s1", "s2"

Context-Insensitivity



Context Sensitivity

Widely adopted by static analysis frameworks for OO programs



Comes with heavy efficiency costs



Comes with heavy efficiency costs

Conventional: apply C.S. to **all methods**



contexts redundantly

Comes with heavy efficiency costs







Comes with heavy efficiency costs









Challenge

Still unclear where and how imprecision is introduced in a context-insensitive pointer analysis



Our Key Contribution

Classify source of imprecision into three general precision-loss patterns

• Direct flow

- Wrapped flow
- Unwrapped flow

Our Key Contribution

Classify source of imprecision into three general precision-loss patterns

• Direct flow

- Wrapped flow
- Unwrapped flow

account for ~99% of precision

Our Key Contribution

Classify source of imprecision into three general precision-loss patterns





IN and OUT Methods

Given a class

- IN methods
 - One or more parameters

• OUT methods

non-void return types

IN and OUT Methods

Given a class

- IN methods
 - One or more parameters

• OUT methods

non-void return types

```
class Foo {
  Cf;
  void setF(C p) {
    this.f = p;
  }
  C getF() {
    C r = this.f;
    return r;
  }
  void bar() {
    this.f = null;
```

IN and OUT Methods



The Three General Flow Patterns

Direct flow

- Wrapped flow
- Unwrapped flow

Identified by leveraging a context-insensitive pointer analysis (as pre-analysis)

The Three General Flow Patterns

Direct flow

- Wrapped flow
- Unwrapped flow

Direct Flow



Direct Flow



Direct Flow



Key Insight: Causes of Imprecision

Flows: objects merge and propagate



Key Insight: Causes of Imprecision

Flows: objects merge and propagate





The Three General Flow Patterns

• Direct flow

Wrapped flow

Unwrapped flow









The Three General Flow Patterns

• Direct flow

• Wrapped flow

Unwrapped flow







Combinations of Three General Flows

The direct, wrapped and unwrapped flows can be combined, e.g.,





Identify precision-critical methods



Identify precision-critical methods Apply C.S. only to





How to Analyze Flow Patterns?

We propose precision flow graph (PFG) expresses direct, wrapped, unwrapped flows, and their combinations, in an uniform way

How to Analyze Flow Patterns?

We propose precision flow graph (PFG) expresses direct, wrapped, unwrapped flows, and their combinations, in an uniform way

Flows in Program



Paths in PFG



 Statically over-approximates all the general flows and their combinations

 Based on the results of context-insensitive pointer analysis (pre-analysis)

How to Analyze Flow Patterns?

We propose precision flow graph (PFG) expresses direct, wrapped, unwrapped flows, and their combinations, in an uniform way



i.e., precision-critical methods

methods





Implementation



- Written in Java (core: ~1500 LOC)
- Integrated with **DOOP**
- Can also be easily integrated with other pointer analysis frameworks
- Open source: <u>http://www.brics.dk/zipper/</u>





- Compared to conventional context-sensitive analysis, can ZIPPER-guided analysis
 - preserve precision?
 - improve efficiency?





- Compared to conventional context-sensitive analysis, can ZIPPER-guided analysis
 - preserve precision?
 - improve efficiency?
- Context sensitivity: 2-object-sensitivity (2obj)
 Most practical high-precision pointer analysis
 - Widely adopted (research papers and analysis frameworks)





- Compared to conventional context-sensitive analysis, can ZIPPER-guided analysis
 - preserve precision?
 - improve efficiency?

- Context sensitivity: 2-object-sensitivity (2obj)
 - Conventional: applies 2obj to all methods
 - ZIPPER-guided: applies 2obj to only precisioncritical methods selected by ZIPPER

Evaluation - Analyzed Programs

10 large Java programs

5 popular real-world applications



• 5 DaCapo benchmarks



Evaluation - Clients

- May-fail casting
- De-virtualization
- Method reachability
- Call graph construction

Widely-used clients to evaluate pointer analysis's precision e.g., PLDI'17, OOPSLA'17, PLDI'14, PLDI'13, POPL'11, OOPSLA'09 ...

Results: ZIPPER vs. Conventional Methods Analyzed Context-Sensitively (20bj)















Conclusion



- Direct, wrapped, and unwrapped flows
 - explain where and how most imprecision is introduced in context insensitivity
- Precision flow graph
 - concisely models the above flows
- Implementation (<u>http://www.brics.dk/zipper/</u>)
 - effectively identifies precision-critical methods

- preserves essentially all of the precision
- improves efficiency significantly

The Parameter-Out Flow Case

```
void m(A input, B output) {
   output.field = input;
}
```

m(a, b); // rare
b.setField(a); // common

Potential of ZIPPER

bloat	Time(s)	#fail-cast	#poly-call	#reach-mtd	#call-edge
Conventional	3128	1193	1427	8470	53143
Zipper	2704	1224	1449	8486	53289
Zipper*	52	1310	1511	8538	54049

ZIPPER*: tracks flows from an IN method only if its flowing-in objects have too many (>50) different types

Identify highly precision-critical methods

More heuristics and precision-efficiency trade-offs can be developed on top of ZIPPER